



Influence of anionic surfactant on stability of nanoparticles in aqueous solutions

Dmitry O. Zelentsov ^a, Yuliya Yu. Petrova ^a, Alexander V. Korobkin ^a, Anastasia A. Ivanova ^b, Alexey N. Cheremisin ^b, Ivan I. Shanenkov ^c, Alexander Ya. Pak ^d, Yuliya G. Mateyshina ^e

a: Institute of Natural and Technical Sciences, Surgut State University, Surgut 628408, Russia

b: Skoltech Center for Petroleum Science and Engineering, Skoltech, Moscow 121205, Russia

c: Institute of Environmental and Agricultural Biology, Tyumen State University, Tyumen 625003, Russia

d: School of Energy and Power Engineering, Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk 634050, Russia

e: Institute of Solid State Chemistry and Mechanochemistry, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

* (E-mail: <u>petrova_juju@surgu.ru</u>)





Supplementary materials



Figure S1 Size distribution of SiO₂ NPts aggregates: in water (a), in 5 mmol/L SDS (b); in 10 mmol/L SDS (c); in 50 mmol/L SDS (d).



Figure S2 Size distribution of TiO₂-SA NPts aggregates: in water (a), in 5 mmol/L SDS (b); in 10 mmol/L SDS (c); in 50 mmol/L SDS (d).





Supplementary materials



Figure S3 Size distribution of Fe_2O_3 NPts aggregates: in water (a), in 5 mmol/L SDS (b); in 10 mmol/L SDS (c); in 50 mmol/L SDS (d).



Figure S4 Size distribution of Al_2O_3 NPts aggregates: in water (a), in 5 mmol/L SDS (b); in 10 mmol/L SDS (c); in 50 mmol/L SDS (d).





Supplementary materials



Figure S5 Size distribution of β -Bi₂O₃ NPts aggregates: in water (a), in 5 mmol/L SDS (b); in 10 mmol/L SDS (c); in 50 mmol/L SDS (d).